

Report: VET funding – follow up on funding rate options

| То: | Hon Penny Simmonds, Minister for Vocational Education | | | | |
|-----------------|---|-----------|------------|--|--|
| Cc: | Choose an item. | | | | |
| Date: | 20/02/2025 | Deadline: | 24/02/2025 | | |
| Security Level: | In-Confidence | Priority: | High | | |
| From: | James Campbell Senior Policy Manager | Phone: | 9(2)(a) | | |
| Drafter: | Liz Haynes | METIS No: | 1343207 | | |

Why are we sending this to you?

 You are receiving this as a follow up to the agencies meeting on Tuesday 18 February, in which we agreed to come back to you with further information on options for the Learner Component and targeted rate increases.

What action do we need, by when?

 We are seeking your decisions by Monday 24 February to inform a draft of your March Cabinet paper on VET funding.

Key facts, issues and questions

 This report provides you with advice on the option of separating Creative Arts provision from other delivery in Category F2, and additional options for the reprioritisation of funding from the Learner Component

Alignment with Government priorities

1. This report aligns with Government priorities as part of the work programme to disestablish Te Pūkenga and confirm new funding settings to come into effect from 1 January 2026.

Background

- Last week we provided you with a report [METIS 1341652 refers] outlining the remaining decisions required for your Cabinet paper on funding for vocational education and training (VET), which is to be presented to Cabinet in March.
- You indicated that you required further information to confirm your decisions on how to reprioritise funding from the Learner Component. You requested advice on a modified approach to targeting which excludes Creative Arts provision, and on the option of removing the Māori and Pacific learner criteria from the Learner Component while eaving other learner rates unchanged.

Further information to support decisions

Excluding 'Creative Arts' provision from a targeted increase

- You asked us about the possibility of excluding 'Creative Arts' provision from any targeted increase to the F2 funding rate.
- 5. Excluding Creative Arts from this targeting would require establishing a new funding category (category 'F7'), which would contain delive y as shown below.

| F2 (Trades, Information Technology and Health-related Professions) | 'F7' (Creative Arts) | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|
| Architecture and Quantity Surveying (#02) | Fine Arts and Design (#12) | |
| Computer Science (#06) | Music and Performing Arts (#16) | |
| Health Related Professions (#17) | | |
| Trades (#22) | | |

- 6. Creative Arts provision makes up only about 15 percent of delivery in the F2 category currently and this is limited to courses that meet the following criteria:
 - Diploma courses (Levels 5–6 on the NZQCF) in Design or Fine Arts/Applied Arts/Graphic A ts, or
 - Certificate courses of 240 credits or more in Fine Arts/Applied Arts/Graphic Arts,
 Design and Craft Design.
- 7. All o her creative arts courses are funded at the F1 rate.
- 8. This provision was included in the F2 rate because it was previously part of funding category B under the SAC system, alongside Computer Science, Vocational Training for Industry and Health-related professions. These subject areas were considered to have similar costs, including equipment, tools or facilities.
- 9. Given the small amount of provision involved, removing Creative Arts from any targeted F2 funding rate increase would not have a significant impact on the total cost of the increase. We therefore do not recommend proceeding with this approach, given the additional system complexity associated with creating a new category.

Security Level: In-Confidence METIS No. 1343207 10. We also note that the creative and cultural strategy published by the Ministry for Culture and Heritage in 2024¹ includes priorities for skills development and strengthening vocational pathways in creative industries.

Removing Māori and Pacific learner criteria only from the Learner Component

- Removing the Māori and Pacific learner categories will save approximately \$6.5 million per annum (less than ten percent of total Learner Component funding).
- 12. Reprioritisation of this amount could fund a 2 percent increase targeted to the F2, F3 and F4² categories only, or a 1 percent increase to the F1 to F5 funding categories.
- 13. We would not recommend the option of combining both a larger increase to priority rates and a smaller increase to other rates under this approach, as the differences between targeted and non-targeted areas would be very small.

| Category | 2025 funding rate | Flat increase | Targeted increase |
|----------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| F1 | \$6,584 | \$6,650 (+1%) | \$6,584 (no change) |
| F2 | \$10,469 | \$10,574 (+1%) | \$10,678 (+2%) |
| F3 | \$11,786 | \$11,904 (+1%) | \$12,022 (+2%) |
| F4 | \$14,419 | \$14,563 (+1%) | \$14 707 (+2%) |
| F5 | \$19,753 | \$19,951 (+1%) | \$19,753 (no change) |
| F6 | \$7,827 | \$7,827 (no change) | \$7,827 (no change) |

14. We support this approach as it would mitigate the concerns expressed by stakeholders in response to the proposal to reduce the Learner C mponent and reduce the complexity of the overall package of funding proposals you are putting to Cabinet.

Next Steps

- 15. We have amended the relevant se ions of the table annexed with our previous advice to include the option of a smaller reduction to the Learner Component through removing the Māori and Pacific learner criteria only (Annex 2).
- 16. Please indicate your dec sions and any feedback to inform the drafting of a Cabinet paper, which we aim to provide for your comment on Thursday 27 February.

Annexes

The following are annexed to this paper:

Annex 1: Current UFS funding categories and rates (2025)

Annex 2: Table for decisions

Security Level: In-Confidence METIS No. 1343207

¹ Amplify: A Creative and Cultural Strategy for New Zealand

² The current UFS funding categories are provided, with their full names, in Annex 1.

Recommended Actions

The Ministry of Education recommends you:

indicate your preferred options for the Learner Component and provider-based delivery rate changes on the table provided (Annex 2)

Yes / No

b. indicate if you would like to exclude 'Creative Arts' delivery from any targeted increase to funding rates for the F2 category by shifting it to a separate funding category (not recommended)

Yes No

Proactive Release:

agree that the Ministry of Education release this paper once Cabinet decisio s on VET C. funding settings have been announced, with any information needing to be withheld done so in line with the provisions of the Official Information Act 1982.

Disagree Agree

James Campbell

Senior Policy Manager

Te Pou Kaupapahere

20/02/2025

Hon Penny Simmonds

Minister for Vocational Education

23/02/25

Annex 1: Current UFS funding categories and rates (2025)

| | Mode of delivery / \$ per EFTS | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Funding categories | Provider- based | Provider- based: extramural | Work- based | Work- based: pathway to work | Assessment and verification |
| Humanities, Business and Social Service Vocations (F1) | \$6,584 | \$6,584 | \$5,701 | \$6,901 | \$1,658 |
| Trades, Creative Arts, Information Technology and Health-related Professions (F2) | \$10,469 | \$10,469 | \$7,825 | \$9,732 | \$1 658 |
| Agriculture, Engineering, Health Sciences and Science (F3) | \$11,786 | \$11,786 | \$8,543 | \$10,69 | \$1,658 |
| Pilot Training and Priority Engineering (F4) | \$14,419 | \$14,419 | \$9,984 | \$12,613 | \$1,658 |
| Foreign-going Nautical and specialist Agriculture (F5) | \$19,753 | \$19,753 | \$12,900 | \$16,499 | N/A |
| Mātauranga Māori and Te Reo Māori (F6) | \$7,827 | \$7,827 | \$7,827 | \$7,827 | \$1,782 |

Annex 2: Table for decisions

| Reducing the Learner Component | Option 1 (~ \$20 million) Remove funding for Māori and Pacific learners | Funding for Māori and Pacific learners is removed to align with the Cabinet circular on needs-based service provision (as per previous discussion) | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|------------------|--|
| | Retain rate of \$1,295 for disabled learners | Funding is not required to be spent on support for learners in the named groups – they serve as a proxy, and the impact is largely one of signalling | Agree / Disagree | |
| | Reduce rate for learners with low prior achievement to \$900 | Disabled learners require different kinds of support to participate and succeed in VET | Agree / Disagree | |
| | | VET providers will recover at least some of this funding through increased delivery rates, but work-based learning organisations will lose funding overall | | |
| | Option 2 (~ \$20 million) Remove funding for Māori and Pacific learners | Funding for Māori and Pacific learners is removed to align with the Cabine circular on needs-based service provision (as per p evious discussion) | | |
| | Reduce rate for disabled learners and/or learners with low prior achievement to \$990 | Funding is not required to be spent on support for learners n the named groups – they serve as a proxy, and the impact is largely one of signalling | Agree / Disagree | |
| | | This option has a smaller negative impact on funding for the current work-based learning divisions of Te Pūkenga (but a larger impact for work-based learning PTEs) | | |
| | Option 3 (~ \$6.5 million) | Funding for Māori and Pacific learners is removed to align with the Cabinet circular an needs beard continuous provision. | | |
| | Remove funding for Māori and Pacific learn rs only | with the Cabinet circular on needs-based service provision (as per previous discussion) | | |
| | Retain rate of \$1,295 for disabled learners and learners | Mitigates the concerns of some stakeholders and other Ministers regarding impacts on learners | Agree / Disagree | |
| | with low prior achievement | Limits the negative impact for work-based learning | | |

| | Option 1 (flat increase) | A moderate, general increase for provider-based learning | |
|----------|--|---|------------------|
| • | An equal increase across F1-F5 funding rates | Lifts all provider funding rates closer to previous (SAC) levels | |
| | 4% with \$20 million reprioritised from Learner | Mitigates the risk of specific funding rates growing ou of proportion with others in the system | Agree / Disagree |
| | Component 1% with \$6.5 million reprioritised from Learner Component | Could be combined with targeting through a Budget cost adjustment | |
| rovider- | Option 2 (targeted increase) A larger increase to targeted F2-F4 funding rates 5% with \$20 million reprioritised from Learner Component 2% with \$6.5 million reprioritised from Learner Component A smaller increase to nontargeted funding rates (excluding F6) if you are reprioritising \$20 million from Learner Component | Provides a larger increase to funding rates for priority subject/industry areas wh e lifting other provider rates approximately in line w h in lation Could be combin d with targeting through a Budget cost adjustment Allows targeted funding rates to increase higher than others, but somewhat mitigates the risk of other provision being neglecte as a result | Agree / Disagree |